Face forward

Physicians discuss various treatment plans for rejuvenating the aging face

Q: When developing a treatment plan for the face, we know that one size does not fit all. How do you approach each individual face to determine your aesthetic approach?

1. The patient’s age. Complaints in younger patients generally reflect changes limited to the skin. With advancing age, the deeper, supportive structures of the face contribute increasingly to the aging changes.

2. The patient’s gender. Men experience changes to their hairline and have the ability to camouflage with facial hair. Men and women may also experience differing cultural expectations for what is acceptable or desirable in terms of age-related changes to the shape of their face and the texture of their skin. The inherent thickness of either a man’s or woman’s skin contributes greatly to their resistance to rhytids and how they respond to many therapies.

3. Skin pigment. Dyschromia gives clues to the patient’s past history concerning skincare (or lack thereof) and possibly their adherence to a skincare program in the future. The severity of the patient’s dyschromia also indicates their skin’s susceptibility to photodamage adjusted for their Fitzpatrick skin type.

4. The patient’s medical history. Always assess for factors that can accelerate aging or affect healing (smoking history, coexistent diseases and medications, past history of facial injury or surgery, history of large fluctuations in weight).

5. The patient’s occupation and avocations. Certain activities (marathoners) can affect tissue aging. The patient’s occupation may influence how important appearance is to their success at work and how much recovery time can be tolerated.

6. Facial asymmetry. Is the patient’s asymmetry noticeable to them, and what is causing the asymmetry?

7. Expression lines. What is the dynamic component involved in creating facial lines, and are they fixed and do they change?

8. The risk/reward calculation. Is the work that would be done most helpful to the patient within their budget and tolerance for procedures?

9. Who sees what. Prioritize your evaluation and recommendations to what the patient sees and

Harris S. Hausen, M.D.
Long Island, N.Y.

“I have heard it said that everyone has a double, a doppelganger, another individual, somewhere on this planet, who could be that person’s long-lost twin. However, in my years of practicing cosmetic medicine, I have yet to see any two patients who are identical. One’s genetics, lifestyle, experiences, traumas, choices regarding diet and exercise, and countless other factors all exert subtle influences upon the structure of one’s face. Accordingly, bony and muscular architecture, the extent of subdermal adipose, and the quality of the skin vary dramatically among individuals, even between monozygotic (identical) twins.

“After obtaining a detailed medical and surgical history from a patient — but before examining her — I wish to get a better understanding of the cosmetic concerns my patient has come to address and what her goals and expectations of a proposed treatment plan might be. Consideration of a suitable plan for a given patient incorporates not only the anatomic structure of one’s face and the condition of the skin, but also the patient’s gender, age, occupation, medical history, previous experience with cosmetic procedures, budget, acceptance or discomfort with downtime, and requirements for immediacy of results.

“Although two patients may each present, for example, for a dermal filler and a neurotoxin to reduce the appearance of rhytids, the appropriate course of action for a woman in her 30s, a professional ballroom dancer who tells me she needs “to sparkle like the rhinestones on her costumes for a competition this weekend,” will differ substantially from that recommended for a woman in her 60s, a conservative attorney who tells me she “would like to look less angry” but does not “want anyone to notice (she’s) had ‘work’ done.” Both are actual patients I have seen, and physiology and anatomy notwithstanding, how aggressively I chose to treat each was informed by our conversations and insight toward desired results.

“Similarly, as many cosmetic procedures can be feminizing, the approach I will offer a gentleman may be less aggressive than that offered to his female contemporary. Retaining masculinity to preserve a man being perceived as handsome, not pretty, is a necessary and fundamental aspect of selecting his proper plan of management.

“Frequently, patients present unsure of which procedures they may require to achieve certain effects and ends. Patients also present with misconceptions regarding the safety or efficacy of various cosmetic procedures, or they present misidentifying those procedures they think will most benefit them. As a physician, it is my responsibility to guide my patients toward the most valuable course of action or, conversely, to decline undertaking any course of action if I believe it to be unsafe or unnecessary for a given patient.

“My recommendations in addressing the needs of each patient vary as greatly as individuals vary. One constant, consistent component of my approach is the very recognition that each patient is a unique individual with unique structure and unique mindset and unique circumstance. My treatment must, therefore, be customized to fit that one patient, and only that one patient, seated before me in consultation.”

Helen M. Torok, M.D.
Medina, Ohio

Assessing the aging face best includes a twelve-step aesthetic assessment of the aging face:
as respond differently to your treatment. Do not think

12. The patient’s state of mind. A depressed patient
as part of their “normal.” Previous photos are useful
will help to explain the pattern of aging and their
response to treatment. Different patients have
different volumes of muscle and subcutaneous fat
as part of their “normal.” Previous photos are useful
in assessing this.

12. The patient’s state of mind. A depressed patient
and a content patient will look very different as well
as respond differently to your treatment. Do not think
a rejuvenating procedure will achieve the same
result in a patient who feels bad and looks bad. This
is a subjective assessment, but a valid one as you
observe during the consultation the range of the
patient’s expressions.”

Joe Niamtu III, D.M.D., F.A.A.C.S.
Richmond, Va.

“Faces, like snowflakes, are never
the same, but they are all similar.
All humans age predictably, but
the way they age is very diverse
due to a combination of intrinsic
and extrinsic factors. I have the same conversation
many times a day, every day (mostly with women),
because the basis of face and neck aging is similar
for all of us. Having said that, we all individually have
aging nuances that relate to our genetics, social
habits and lifestyle. So everybody is the same, but no
one is exactly the same.

“I believe that I could perform an accurate diag-
nosis from outside the consult room if the patient
slid their driver’s license under the door. Most
cosmetic surgeons could predict what aging
a patient has and what procedures they may
benefit from knowing their birth year! Obviously,
that is a huge generalization, and the real art of
diagnosis and treatment lies in identifying both
the overt and the covert aspects of face and neck
aging. Overt aging would be the grossly obvious
factors such as brow ptosis, submental excess
and severe actinic damage. Covert aging are the
“hidden” factors that many patients and some
docs do this themselves, others have staff to simplify the discussion.
I stress that a comprehensive evaluation is necessary to fully understand the total aging picture.
Once in awhile, a patient presents for eyelid evaluation and may be surprised when we discuss
their neck. “I just want my eyes done” may be the patient’s reaction, but it is important for them to understand
the full impact of comprehensive aging and how the total can surpass the sum of the parts.

Again, it is important to remember that we say
ever say may be the only time the patient ever
hears it, so it is up to us and our staff to make sure
the message gets through. Supplemental tools such
as websites, blogs, YouTube videos and written infor-
mation can also greatly assist. Always remember,
an educated patient simplifies the entire cosmetic
surgery process. Misunderstanding and related
disappointments can fuel a litany of problems. For
this reason, it is also important for the patient to understand what their procedure won’t do.”

Mary Lupo, M.D., F.A.A.D.
New Orleans

“The initial cosmetic consultation is critical to the long-term success of the patient’s treatment, as well as
the long-term relationship of the doctor and patient. The dermatologist must balance the truth of what the patient needs (or does not need) with considerations of their feelings, expectations and their budget.

“At that initial consult, it is important to hand the patient a mirror and ask about their concerns and even to prioritize them for you. Then you must add your insight and observations based on your years of experience. For example, the patient may want
their nasolabial folds gone when in fact they really need cheek augmentation and lift. Patients have
been so conditioned to ask for their “parentheses” to be treated that they do not see the big global
aging picture of their face. Remember, companies can only advertise what is an on-label FDA (Food
and Drug Administration) approval. It is our job to responsibly use these tools to make the patient (not just the nasolabial fold) look better. And this means using products quite a bit ‘off-label.’ If patients are too far gone for my nonsurgical tools, I refer them to a
plastic surgeon.

“All this makes the consult take longer. Patient education is the only way to have them make the
best choices. If you do what a patient wants but you think it is wrong, you then own that result. So make
sure every treatment used, every device employed and every filler injected is doing what your vision is
for the patient. I often tell patients if you just inject the nasolabial fold, the fold will look better, but YOU
won’t look better.

“I do not measure after so many years, I do a good job eyeballing the proportions. Photography is also
an essential tool, as I see things in pictures. I also rely on watching the patient while they talk and animate.
It is hard to articulate why I use what (products). I just know because I have been using fillers since
1983 and toxins and lasers since the mid-’90s.

“Finally, I address skincare and the need for
sun protection. After all, the canvas must look smooth and be even toned for the final painting to come out right.”
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